

RESPONDING TO CONCERNS GUIDELINE

Scope

To outline the process for responding to concerns and complaints received by the South Australian Medical Education and Training (SA MET) Unit regarding Trainee Medical Officer (TMO) education and training, supervision, TMO welfare or patient safety in South Australia. The process allows anyone to raise instances of non-compliance of safety, health and welfare within their workplace, protecting their safety and that of others in their care.

Introduction

Feedback received by the SA MET Unit is categorised into two categories - a concern or a complaint.

- > A **concern** is any issue that a TMO considers may affect his/her welfare or his/her patient's welfare or safety, his/her education and training and the subsequent requirement to meet the Accreditation Standards (affiliated to accreditation matters).
- > A **complaint** is an expression of concern, dissatisfaction or frustration with the quality, or delivery of patient care &/or TMO welfare (affiliated to operational matters).

Concerns and complaints can manifest in several different ways. Some examples are listed below.

- > Issues relating to the **education and training provided to TMOs**, for example TMOs consenting or being asked to consent patients without appropriate training.
- > Issues relating to **TMO supervision**, for example TMOs receiving inadequate levels of supervision, as defined by the SA MET Unit's Supervision Guideline or TMOs being asked to undertake procedures they have not been adequately trained in.
- > Issues relating to **TMO wellbeing** (any real or potential issue that could result in a TMO coming to physical or professional harm), for example TMOs working excessive amounts of overtime, leaving them fatigued and prone to errors
- > Issues relating to **patient safety** (any real or potential issue that could compromise the care given to a patient in the care of a TMO), for example TMOs prescribing medication they are unfamiliar with.

Patient safety and *TMO wellbeing* concerns are not mutually exclusive; an issue which endangers patient safety will often endanger TMO safety and vice versa.

Concerns and complaints can be raised with the SA MET Unit through different sources, including TMOs or their advocates, other healthcare staff, Local Health Network (LHN) management or anonymously. Concerns and complaints can be received via the SA MET Unit website, email, phone, during an accreditation visit or through the accreditation survey process.

It is most likely that issues will be discovered in face-to-face meetings with TMOs. Due to the numbers of TMOs in certain rotations, an individual's anonymity may be compromised by the reporting of a patient/trainee safety concern. If this is likely, the accreditation team will inform the TMO of this and determine if they wish to proceed.

The SA MET Unit Manager, Education and Accreditation will allocate a risk rating to the concern or complaint received. An assessment will indicate whether the feedback is extreme, major, moderate, or

minor. The meanings for each of the risk ratings are noted in the definitions section below.

The SA MET Unit will progress all concerns and complaints via the process outlined below.

Responding to concerns or complaints

SA MET Unit Response

(See appendix – 1. Response to Concerns Flowchart)

- > A concern or complaint received by the SA MET Unit will be entered into the Responding to Concerns Register immediately. The Manager, Education and Accreditation in conjunction with the Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will identify the issues raised and determine whether the issue is a concern or complaint and the level of risk (minor/moderate, major or extreme – see definitions).
- > If the issue is considered a concern with an **extreme** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager, SA MET Unit, Health Advisory Council (Advisory Council) Presiding Member and the Accreditation Committee (AC) Chair and action as per advice, for example:
 - o The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the concern on behalf of the Advisory Council Presiding Member to the LHN's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Executive Director of Medical Services (EDMS) immediately, copying in the Director of Clinical Training (DCT). It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the issue.
- > If the issue is considered a concern with a **major** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager SA MET Unit, Advisory Council Presiding Member and the AC Chair and action as per advice, for example:
 - o The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the concern immediately to the LHN's EDMS, copying in the DCT and Medical Education Officer (MEO). It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the issue.
- > If the issue is considered a complaint with an **extreme** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager, SA MET Unit and the Chief Medical Officer (CMO) and action as per advice, for example:
 - o The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the complaint on behalf of the CMO to the LHN's CEO and EDMS immediately, copying in the DCT. It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the complaint.
- > If the issue is considered a complaint with a **major** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager SA MET Unit and the CMO and action as per advice, for example:
 - o The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the complaint immediately on behalf of the CMO to the LHN's EDMS, copying in the DCT and MEO. It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the complaint.
- > If the issue is considered a concern or complaint with a **minor or moderate** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform the LHN's DCT and MEO. It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the concern or complaint.
- > If consent is not provided by the individual who made the concern or complaint, the information will be entered into the Responding to Concerns Register and discussed at the Accreditation and Advisory Council committees not identifying the writer. If the concern or complaint is **extreme** or **major** the Advisory Council Presiding Member, Accreditation Chair, Manager SA MET Unit and the Chief Medical Officer is notified unanimously.

- > LHNs are expected to provide the outcome of the concern or complaint back to the SA MET Unit's Education and Accreditation team within the deadline set. When the concern or complaint is resolved, the Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation will enter the outcome into the Responding to Concerns Register. A Responding to Concerns report will be tabled as an agenda item at the Advisory Council and AC meetings on a quarterly basis for review by members. This includes an opportunity to review the concerns or complaints received and any additional actions required.
- > If the concern or complaint is unable to be resolved the Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation will liaise with the Manager SA MET Unit and the AC Chair for advice on the next steps to be undertaken.
- > The complainant will be contacted by the Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation to advise of the outcomes of their complaint or concern.
- > The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will follow up on any Advisory Council actions. If no actions are required, the concern or complaint will be updated on the Responding to Concerns Register and closed.
- > The SA MET Unit Education and Accreditation team will refer to the Responding to Concerns Register before each accreditation site visit to check whether any incidents have occurred in a domain or unit. Questions may be included in the visit schedule to address the concern or complaint.

Accreditation Survey

The PGY2+ New Unit Accreditation or a Reporting on Accreditation Proviso Survey may contain feedback regarding issues which pose risks to patient and/or trainee medical officer (TMO) safety.

When concern(s) are identified within a survey response, the SA MET Unit will respond as indicated below and will also follow the New Unit Accreditation or Reporting on Accreditation Proviso processes.

SA MET Unit Response

(See appendix – 2. Response to Concerns received via TMO survey)

- > When a concern or complaint is received through an Accreditation Survey, it will be entered into the Responding to Concerns Register and allocated the level of risk.
- > If the issue is considered a concern or complaint with any level of risk, the Project Officer Education and Accreditation will follow the New Unit Accreditation or Reporting on Accreditation Proviso processes and additionally follow this responding to concerns document.
- > If the issue is considered a concern with an **extreme** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager, SA MET Unit, Advisory Council Presiding Member and the AC Chair and action as per advice (outside of the New Unit or Proviso processes), for example:
 - o The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the concern on behalf of the Presiding Member to the LHN's CEO and EDMS immediately, copying in the DCT. It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the issue.
- > If the issue is considered a concern with a **major** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager SA MET Unit, Advisory Council Presiding Member and the AC Chair and action as per advice (outside of the New Unit or Proviso processes), for example:

- The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the concern immediately to the LHN's EDMS, copying in the DCT and MEO. It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the issue.
- > If the issue is considered a complaint with an **extreme** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager, SA MET Unit and the CMO and action as per advice (outside of the New Unit or Proviso processes), for example:
 - The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the complaint on behalf of the CMO to the LHN's CEO and EDMS immediately, copying in the DCT. It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the complaint.
- > If the issue is considered a complaint with a **major** risk, the Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will immediately inform and seek advice from the Manager SA MET Unit and the CMO and action as per advice (outside of the New Unit or Proviso processes), for example:
 - The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will forward the complaint immediately on behalf of the CMO to the LHN's EDMS, copying in the DCT and MEO. It is expected that the LHN will take responsibility and resolution of the complaint.
- > LHNs are expected to provide the outcome of the concern or complaint back to the SA MET Unit's Education and Accreditation team within the deadline set. When the concern or complaint is resolved, the Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation will enter the outcome into the Responding to Concerns Register. A Responding to Concerns report will be tabled as an agenda item at the Advisory Council and AC meetings on a quarterly basis for review by members. This includes an opportunity to review the concerns or complaints received and any additional actions required.
- If the complaint or concern continues with no resolution a site visit may be required.
- > The complainant will be contacted by the Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation to advise of the outcomes of their complaint or concern.
- > The Manager or Project Officer, Education and Accreditation will follow up on any Advisory Council actions. If no actions are required, the concern or complaint will be updated on the Responding to Concerns Register and closed.

During an accreditation visit

Accreditation team members undertaking accreditation visits may, in interviews, encounter issues which pose a risk to patient and/or TMO safety.

If an accreditation team encounters a patient and/or TMO safety issue, it has a duty to investigate this to the best of its ability and inform the relevant authorities at the site before the visit concludes.

When a concern or complaint is identified within an accreditation site visit, the SA MET Unit will respond as indicated below and will also follow the accreditation visit process.

SA MET Unit Response

(See appendix – 3. Response to Concerns received via LHN Accreditation Visit)

When an accreditation team encounters a **major** or **extreme** patient or TMO safety issue, it should follow the process outlined below to ensure duty of care obligations are fulfilled. Any **moderate** or **minor** concern will be included as a proviso within the accreditation report.

- > Team members should ask questions in meetings with TMOs to investigate the issue and get a full picture. The issue should then be discussed with the appropriate term supervisor, or escalated to the

MEO and/or DCT, to determine the extent of the issue, whether this has been detected by the unit and whether steps have been taken to resolve it. This should then be reported to LHN management either immediately or at the end of day debrief.

- > The Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation will enter the concern and actions taken into the Responding to Concerns Register as soon as practicable. The Project Officer will liaise with the Manager Education and Accreditation and the Manager of the SA MET Unit. The concern will be summarised to the Advisory Council Presiding Member and AC Chair.
- > LHN management will be responsible for producing a report detailing how the concern has been resolved, which will be submitted to the SA MET Unit Accreditation team within one week of the visit.
- > The Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation will liaise with the Accreditation team members, AC Chair and Advisory Council Presiding Member and if satisfied the concern has been fully resolved, the Manager Education and Accreditation will inform the LHN.
- > The Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation will enter the outcome into the Responding to Concerns Register, close the matter and report to the AC and Advisory Council as part of quarterly reporting.
- > If it has not been possible to fully resolve the concern by the time of submission of the report, the site will be responsible for completing an action plan detailing how and when the concern will be resolved. Monitoring of the action plan will take place outside of the regular accreditation report process; however, the final report may contain provisos relating to the concern.
- > The LHN's action plan will be tabled to the AC and Advisory Council as part of the quarterly reporting process.
- > The Manager or Project Officer Education and Accreditation will follow up on any Advisory Council actions. If no actions are required, the concern will be updated on the Responding to Concerns Register and closed.

Outcome

In addition, to the above-mentioned processes, should concerns exist the Advisory Council will determine the ongoing suitability of accreditation with the potential accreditation outcomes:

- > Continued accreditation
- > Conditional accreditation with provisos for monitoring
- > Rescinded accreditation

Conditional accreditation with provisos will be managed by the SA MET Unit Education and Accreditation team in conjunction with the medical education staff of the LHN ensuring proviso timeframes are met. As with other decisions, a unit or facility can appeal in line with SA MET Unit's Internal Review Policy.

Evaluation

An annual performance review survey will be sent to the Advisory Council, Accreditation and Doctors in Training committees as well as LHN staff to provide feedback on the performance of the policy, to reflect on the quarterly reports and note any quality improvements that need to be made.

Related Documents

- > SA MET Accreditation Policy
- > SA MET Guide to Accreditation

- > SA MET Guide to Internal Review Policy
- > The Trainee Medical Officer (TMO) Wellbeing Guideline
- > Process for Reporting on Accreditation Provisos
- > Accreditation Evaluation Guideline
- > New Unit Accreditation Process
- > Accreditation Team Member Guide
- > SA Health Roles, Responsibilities and Governance Policy Directive
- > SA Health Governance Accountability and Consultation Framework
- > National Safety and Quality Health Services (NSQHS) Standards
- > SA MET Unit Accreditation Standards
- > Work Health and Safety Act 2021 (SA)
- > Work Health and Safety Regulations 2021 (SA)

Monitoring

The SA MET Unit will periodically review the effectiveness of this process and related documents.

Definitions

Accreditation – a quality assurance process that establishes and monitors the education and training provided for TMOs within facilities to ensure high standards of clinical training for TMOs. Accreditation may be granted to a facility or a new unit for 6 months, 12 months or four years.

Accreditation Standards – all accreditation activities are assessed against published accreditation standards. These standards have been developed through an extensive consultation process with stakeholders and endorsed by the South Australian Minister for Health and Wellbeing and the Australian Medical Council in 2014.

Complaint - an expression of concern, dissatisfaction or frustration with the quality, or delivery of patient care &/or TMO welfare (affiliated to operational matters).

Concern – any issue that a TMO considers may affect his/her welfare or his/her patient's welfare or safety, his/her education and training and the subsequent requirement to meet the Accreditation Standards (affiliated to accreditation matters).

- > **Extreme Risk**- Having, or likely to have a dangerous impact on TMO welfare, the education and training received by TMOs and the subsequent requirement to meet the Accreditation Standards &/or patient safety e.g. but not limited to; any bullying and/or harassment especially but not exclusively by senior staff, inadequate or no supervision of TMO.
- > **Major Risk** - Having, or likely to have a significant impact on TMO welfare, the education and training received by TMOs and the subsequent requirement to meet the Accreditation Standards &/or patient safety, e.g. but not limited to; excessive working hours or overtime which may impact patient care or an unresolved dispute with a supervisor.
- > **Moderate Risk** - Having, or likely to have a lesser impact on TMO welfare or the education and training and the subsequent requirement to meet the Accreditation Standards received by TMOs and no impact on patient safety. Can be successfully resolved without involvement of the AC or Advisory Council. e.g. but not limited to; inability of TMO to attend education sessions for any reason, inadequate orientation to hospital or unit.
- > **Minor Risk** – No impact on or risk to TMO welfare &/or patient safety or the education and training received by TMOs and subsequent requirement to meet the Accreditation Standards. Can be resolved without involvement of the AC or Advisory Council.

Local Health Network – There are ten LHNs in South Australia that manage the delivery of public health services and other community-based health services. The LHNs may comprise a single hospital or a group of public hospitals with a geographic or functional connection.

South Australian Medical Education and Training Health Advisory Council – a Minister for Health and Wellbeing appointed Council incorporated to improve the quality of education, training and welfare for TMOs within South Australia and make recommendations for the accreditation of TMO positions in health services.

South Australian Medical Education and Training Accreditation Committee – a sub-committee of the SA MET Health Advisory Council that is responsible for an efficient and effective accreditation process considering jurisdictional requirements, national program developments and the needs of TMOs.

South Australian Medical Education and Training Unit: Supports the functions of the SA MET Health Advisory Council and various committees and subcommittees providing advice to the Health Advisory Council. The unit is committed to supporting the education and training of trainee medical officers in South Australia and supports the Health Advisory Council in ensuring an open and transparent accreditation system.

Contact

The South Australian Medical Education and Training Unit
 Level 5, Citi Centre Building
 11 Hindmarsh Square, Adelaide SA 5000
 Telephone: 08 8226 7231
 Email: healthSAMETAccreditation@sa.gov.au

Document History


Date effective	Author/Editor	Approved by	Version	Change Reference
4 April 2012	Senior Project Officer, Accreditation	SA MET Health Advisory Council Accreditation Subcommittee	1.0	Original Document
19 June 2013	Project Officer, Accreditation	Senior Project Officer, Accreditation	1.1	Update process
6 August 2014	Project Officer, Accreditation	Senior Project Officer, Accreditation	1.2	Minor updates
4 February 2015	Project Officer, Accreditation	Senior Project Officer, Accreditation	1.3	Minor update
14 June 2018	Project Officer, Accreditation	Manager Education and Accreditation	1.4	Major Update
27 May 2020	Manager, Education & Accreditation	SA MET Accreditation Committee	2.0	Major Review
23 February 2022	Manager, Education & Accreditation	SA MET Health Advisory Council	3.0	Major Review

1. Responding to Concerns Flow Chart




The Responding to Concerns report will be tabled at AC & Advisory Council meetings on a quarterly basis

The SA MET Unit will review the Register before an LHN accreditation visit



Legend			
PO = Education & Accreditation Project Officer	DCT = Director of Clinical Training	EDMS = Executive Director Medical Services	TS = Term Supervisor
Manager = Education & Accreditation Manager	MEO = Medical Education Officer	CEO = Chief Executive Officer	CMO = Chief Medical Officer
AC = Accreditation Committee	Advisory Council = Health Advisory Council	LHN = Local Health Network	TMO = Trainee Medical Officer


2. Responding to Concerns Flow Chart – TMO survey process



Legend			
PO = Education & Accreditation Project Officer	DCT = Director of Clinical Training	EDMS = Executive Director Medical Services	TS = Term Supervisor
Manager = Education & Accreditation Manager	MEO = Medical Education Officer	CEO = Chief Executive Officer	CMO = Chief Medical Officer
AC = Accreditation Committee	Advisory Council = Health Advisory Council	LHN = Local Health Network	TMO = Trainee Medical Officer

3. Responding to Concerns Flow Chart – During a site Accreditation visit

A concern or complaint identified at an accreditation visit will entered on the SA MET Responding to Concerns Register as soon as practicable.



Legend

PO = Education & Accreditation Project Officer
 Manager = Education & Accreditation Manager
 AC = Accreditation Committee

DCT = Director of Clinical Training
 MEO = Medical Education Officer
 Advisory Council = Health Advisory Council

EDMS = Executive Director Medical Services
 CEO = Chief Executive Officer
 LHN = Local Health Network

TS = Term Supervisor
 CMO = Chief Medical Officer
 TMO = Trainee Medical Officer