

# 2022 Survey Results from DCTs & MEOs across the LHNs

The survey investigated the quality of service the Education and Accreditation team provided to the Local Health Networks in 2022, with respect to advice and support provided throughout the year on various accreditation functions, and the extent of support provided before, during and after accreditation visits.

The survey also allowed opportunity for suggestions.

## Accreditation Visit Process

100% of respondents agreed The accreditation visit process gave adequate opportunity to present the strengths of the education, training and support provided to prevocational trainees



## Least Time Consuming Accreditation Function?


Engaging with SA MET

## Most Difficult Accreditation Function?

Engaging key stakeholders

## Most Time Consuming Accreditation Function?

Developing/updating Term Descriptions



## Individual Comments:

The whole accreditation process was smooth with no issues highlighted. The visiting team were engaging and really interested in the LHN process and submission evidence.

It is a difficult and valuable job that SAMET undertake. We appreciate their professionalism and the support they have provided to us as we embark upon bringing PGY2+ doctors into our LHN.

The support for our initial application and submission of text in the VAM system was amazing. Dzenan went through initial application and documentation and gave practical advice which was greatly received.

## Individual Comments:

The most valuable was being able to meet with or access SAMET staff to assist us with our questions that arose along the way.

## Suggestions from LHNs?

Having more time, I only commenced in my role about 1 month prior to the site visit and did not have my team on board. We are a brand new program and relied heavily on support from SAMET and RSS staff to assist in this very large process.