

EPA 2: Recognition and care of the acutely unwell patient

Note: This form has been altered to better support paper-based trialling of EPA assessments in 2023 and 2024. This form will be translated into an online version prior to implementation of an e-portfolio. Most of the details in the form will be prepopulated in the e-portfolio or entered by the prevocational doctor.

The purpose of this form is to provide feedback to the prevocational doctor on their performance of an EPA to support their learning and to support assessment review panel decisions about satisfactory completion of PGY1 (the point of general registration) or PGY2. EPAs assessed throughout PGY1 and PGY2 should represent a breadth of experience and a range of task complexities.

Prevocational	doctor name					
Term name						
Term start date				Term end date		
PGY		Term	of	Week of term		
Date of assessment						
Supervisor name						
Assessor name						
Assessor		□ Specialist or equivalent (term supervisor)			□ Nurse/ ı	nurse practitioner
		Specialist o	or equivalent (oth	er)	🗆 Pharma	icist
		□ Registrar			Other	
Consultation with/		□ Specialist or equivalent (term supervisor)			Pharma	cist
input from		□ Specialist or equivalent (other)			Patient	
		□ Registrar			□ PGY1/2 peer	
		Nurse/ nurse practitioner			□ Other	
		Allied health				

Title

Recognise, assess, escalate appropriately, and provide immediate management to deteriorating and acutely unwell patients. (This EPA recognises that PGY1/2 doctors often called after hours to assess patients whose situation has acutely changed)

Focus and context

This EPA applies in any clinical context but the critical aspects that differentiate it from EPA 1 are for the PGY1/PGY2 doctor to:

- 1. Recognise the acutely unwell and or deteriorating patient
 - (including acute deterioration in mental health).
- 2. Act immediately, demonstrating a timely approach to management
- 3. Escalate appropriately

Perform this activity in multiple settings, including inpatient and ambulatory (or community) care settings or in emergency departments, in and after hours, and in the care of different populations for example children, adults and elderly.

Prevocational doctor to complete this section

Description

This activity requires the ability to, where appropriate or possible complete some or all of the following list. The prevocational doctor is to tick the task descriptions that are relevant to this assessment:

1.	recognise clinical deterioration or acutely unwell patients
2.	respond by initiating immediate management, including basic life support if required
3.	seek appropriate assistance, including following the local process for escalation of care
4.	communicate critical information in a concise, accurate and timely manner to facilitate decision making
5.	lead the resuscitation initially, and involve other necessary services, such as intensive care or retrieval services

See Section 2B for descriptions of behaviours that demonstrate entrustability to the supervisor.

Case details

Brief description of issues of case: [e.g. age, gender, diagnosis etc.]

Self-assessment

Self-reflection on performance of the task: [how do you feel you went?, what went well and why?, what could you have done better and how?]

Based on this case, what will you do to develop your learning further?

Outcome statements (this section of the form will be functional at implementation of an e-portfolio)

[Will prepopulate what outcome statements this assessment will map to, based on what aspects of the task description have been ticked in the above section]

Asse	ssor to co	mplete this s	ection		
Case details		Patient type: Brief description: □ Child [e.g., age, gender, diagnosis etc.] □ Adult [adult			
Asse	ssor's dec	laration			
	The patient member tha		and I have directly observed some part of the clinical interaction or have spoken to a team		
Complexity of the case(s) Low Medium High					
			ne complexity of the medical presentation and relevant social factors. EPAs assessed throughout n of experience and a range of task complexities.		
Entru	ustability so	cale			
Super	visors are aske	ed to make a judge	ment on the degree of entrustment for this task; the level of supervision required.		
	Requires direct supervision (I or the (day to day) supervisor need to be there to observe the interactions and review the work)				
	Requires proximal supervision (I or the (day to day) supervisor need to be easily contacted, and able to provide immediate or detailed review of work)				
	Requires minimal supervision (I trust the prevocational doctor to complete the task/ I or the (day to day) supervisor need to be contactable/ in the building and able to provide general overview of work)				

Was the entrustability rating appropriate for the level of training?

□ Yes □ No

Assessor's Feedback

What went well?

What could be done to improve?

Agreed learning goals arising from the experience

Assessor sign off: