

# 2023 Survey Results from DCTs & MEOs and Medical Education Units accross the LHNs

The survey investigated the quality of service the Accreditation team provided to the Local Health Networks in 2023, with respect to advice and support provided throughout the year on various accreditation functions, and the extent of support provided before, during and after accreditation visits. The survey also allowed opportunity for suggestions.

## Evaluation Results

How would you describe the ease of the accreditation visit process you experienced?

75% Easy  
25% Moderate



How did you find the workload and associated time commitment required of the accreditation visit process?

100% Acceptable

## Evaluation Results

### Tasks considered most time consuming:

Collating the required submission documentation

Understanding/applying the Accreditation Standards

Engaging key stakeholders

100% agreed The SA MET Accreditation Report was easy to read, presents information against the Accreditation Standards and identifies the Local Health Network's reporting requirements.

- 100% agreed The accreditation visit process gave adequate opportunity to present the strengths of the education, training and support provided to prevocational trainees.



Rate general accreditation processes in terms of the value of support and advice provided by the SA MET Unit:

Found the discussions most beneficial

## COMMENTS:

Have received timely useful advice from the unit.

## Suggestions and Feedback for Improvements:

The SAMET process is user friendly and easy to navigate. Sometimes the evidence required is a bit ambiguous.

As a program not a site, some of the standards were confusing to meet. Not a complaint, just the experience we had, the team were great at understanding that was the case